

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/713,157	SHIRAKAWA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thomas M. Lithgow	1724	

All Participants:

(1) Thomas M. Lithgow.

(2) Mr. Holman.

Date of Interview: 2 March 2006

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 and 11

Prior art documents discussed:

US 2851164 (MORINO)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Amend claim 1 to define over the Morino '164 reference. See Ex's Amd't and reasons for allowance.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.


☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____



THOMAS M. LITHGOW
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 17.00

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)